POLAR EFFECTS IN HYDROGEN ABSTRACTION FROM BENZALDEHYDES-III

RADICAL CHLORINATION BY SULPHURYL CHLORIDE

K. H. LEE

Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lmnpur, Malaysia

(Received in Japan 28 October 1969; Received in the UKfor publication 29 December 1969)

Abstruet--The **relative rates of radical chlorination of m- and p- substituted benzaldehydes with sulphuryl** chloride at 40° in CCl₄ have been determined by means of a competitive reaction using p-diethylbenzene as **reference standard. The relative reactivities were calculated from the amounts of aroyl chloride and a**chloro_t p-diethylbenzene found and a polar effect ($\rho = -0.53$) was shown to be correlated by the Hammett equation with the σ constants. A comparison of the ρ -values with the redetermined value ($\rho = -1.13$) at **the same temperature for bromotrichloromethane with the same system shows that radical halogenation** with SO_2Cl_2 is much less selective than with $BrCCl_3$.

IN PART 1' it was found that the formation of substituted benzoyl radicals by abstraction from benzaldehyde by the trichloromethyl radical obey the Hammett $\rho\sigma$ relationship. Recently a similar investigation² with the trichloromethane sulphonyl radical towards benzaldehydes has also demonstrated a polar effect, the ρ -value found being significantly different from that reported¹ for the \cdot CCl₃ radical. The study of polar effects in hydrogen-abstraction from benzaldehydes has now been extended to radical chlorination with sulphuryl chloride. The competitive sulphuryl chloride chlorination of benzaldehyde in presence of p -xylene, ethylbenzene and p -diethylbenzene has been investigated recently³ and the reactivity values relative to the α -position of these hydrocarbons reported were 5.16, 5.62 and 2.51, respectively, at 40° in CCl₄ solution. In the present work the radical chlorination of the substituted benzaldehydes was carried out at 40° under similar conditions using p-diethylbenzene as reference compound. The substituent effect in the bromination of benzaldehydes by bromotrichloromethane have been re-investigated at this temperature in order that the magnitude of the ρ -values for these two halogenating agents can be compared.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reactivities of seven m- and p-substituted benzaldehydes and the parent compound relative to that of the benzylic hydrogen atoms of p -diethylbenzene toward sulphuryl chloride have been measured. The aroyl chlorides were estimated by IR spectrophotometry^{2, 3} and the total labile chlorides (ArCOCl + p-EtC₆H₄CHClCH₃) determined by Volhard's method after reaction with aniline.³ Typical results are given in Table 1 where the unconsumed aldehydes estimated^{2, 3} show good material balance for the initial aldehydes used. The total labile chlorides found averaged 90 \pm 2% of the SO_2Cl_2 used, in good agreement with the 90.6% reported³ for competitive experiments using benzaldehyde and ethylbenzene in which β -chloroethylbenzene was found not to interfere with the estimation of the total labile chlorides. Thus

 β -chlorination of p-diethylbenzene does not affect the measured relative reactivity values of the substituted benxaldehydes investigated.

The reactivity of the benzaldehydes relative to the α -position of p-diethylbenzenes, $K/K_{\rm m-RR}$ were calculated by the usual relative rate equation¹ and the effects of substituents of benxaldehyde toward sulphuryl chloride are given in Table 2. Application of the Hammett equation, by standard statistical methods,⁴ shows that the results are better correlated by the σ constants of McDaniel and Brown,⁵ with $\rho = -0.53$ ± 0.03 (corr coeff = 0.981), than by the σ^+ constants of Brown and Okamoto⁶ $(\rho = -0.30 \pm 0.07; r = 0.890)$. The present results confirm the presence of polar effect ($\rho = -0.48$ based on 4 electron withdrawing substituents) reported by Arai⁷ in the absence of any solvent other than the standard substrate toluene at 80".

The reactivities of substituted benxaldehydes and the parent compound relative to ethylbenzene towards bromotrichloromethane' have been redetermined at 40" and the results are given in Table 3. For m - and p-methylbenzaldehydes minor corrections¹ were applied to take account of methyl C-H abstraction as previously described. The ratio of the reactivity of the aldehydic $C-H$ to that of the methyl $C-H$ was found to be 25.3 \pm 0.7 and 21.0 \pm 1.3 per molecule for the m- and p-isomers, respectively. The reactivity value K_{PhCHO}/K_{PhB1} determined was 2.41 compared to a value of 1.20 at 80", a result consistent with the greater selectivity of the radical at a lower temperature. Again the p -MeO and p -PhO values were explicably¹ low and have been omitted from the correlation by the Hammett equation. Like the results ($\rho = -0.74$) found at 80° the present data are also well correlated by the σ constants, with $\rho = -1.13$ ± 0.01 (corr coeff = 0.998) compared to the correlation with the σ^+ constants ($\rho =$ -0.96 +0.07, r = 0.971). The more negative ρ -value at 40° is to be expected as selectivity in hydrogen-abstraction by radicals can be increased by lowering the temperature.

It has been shown⁸ that the trichloromethyl radical is highly selective in benzylic hydrogen abstraction and thus a fairly large polar effect is to be expected even in a relatively reactive substrate like benzaldehyde.^{8b} A qualitative comparison of the magnitude of the polar effects in the benzaldehyde series towards $BrCCl₃, Cl₃CSO₂Cl$ and SO_2Cl_2 can be seen from the p-values of -1.13 (40°), -0.54 (80°)² and -0.53 (40°), respectively, all correlated by the σ constants. The principal hydrogen-abstracting species with the first two reagents appear well established. Comparing the two chlorinating agents the ρ -value for sulphuryl chloride seems to be of the correct order of magnitude as it is known that SO_2Cl_2 is less selective than Cl_3CSO_2Cl in the chlorination of alkanes.⁹

The sulphuryl chloride chlorination of substituted toluenes³ in dilute $CCl₄$ solution at 40° gave a ρ -value of -0.56 which is significantly different from the ρ -value of -0.76 at 70° reported¹⁰ for photochlorination in the absence of a solvent. The latter result has been attributed³ to the π -complexed Cl atom as the principal hydrogenabstracting species. In the former case the SO_2C1 radical has been cited as the main hydrogen-abstracting species, but any involvement of atom chlorine-chain could not be ruled out. Since the competitive chlorination of the benzaldehydes and p-diethylbenzene by SO_2Cl_2 has been carried out in dilute CCl₄ solution the SO_2Cl radical could be similarly cited as the principal hydrogen-abstracting species without ruling out the possibility of Cl atoms being involved. Wiberg and Slaugh¹¹ found that the deuterium isotope effect, K_H/K_D for the chlorination of α -d₁-toluene by SO₂Cl₂ at

Aldehyde	Irradiation Time hr	Unreacted mmole	mmole	Mole $\%$ accounted	ArCHO, ArCOCl Initial ArCHO ArCOCl + α -chloride Rel. reactivity ^b mmole	$K_{\text{A}\text{-CHO}}/K_{\text{a-DRB}}$	
PhCHO	1.5	4.19	5.86	100-5	$8 - 84$	$2-49$	
PhCHO	1.5	4.14	6-07	102	$9 - 14$	$2 - 54$	
PhCHO	1.5	4-05	$6 - 04$	101	9.14	$2-50$	
p -ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	1.5	4.05	5.85	99	9.25	2.12	
p -ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	1.25	4-01	5.89	99	9.25	$2-16$	
p-CIC.H.CHO	1.25	4.16	5.79	99.5	$9 - 08$	2.17	
m -ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	$1-5$	$4-78$	$5-10$	99	8.97	$1-46$	
m -ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	$1-75$	4.68	5.06	97	$8 - 87$	1.47	
m -ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	1.5	$4 - 65$	5.15	98	$9 - 00$	$1-49$	

TABLE 1. TYPICAL RESULTS OF COMPETITIVE REACTION OF X-C₆H₄CHO AND p-EtC₆H₄Et with SO₂Cl₇^ª</sup> AT 40°

^a ArCHO: $p\text{-}EtC_6H_4Et$: $SO_2Cl_2 = 10:10:10$ mmole in ca. 25 ml CCl₄.

 b Calculated by the relative rate equation as in Ref 1.</sup>

TABLE 2. RELATIVE REACTIVITY OF SUBSTITUTED BENZALDEHYDES TOWARDS SO₂Cl₂ AT 40°

Substituent	<i>v</i> -PhO	<i>v</i> -MeO	<i>v</i> -Bu ^t	none	$m-MeO$	p-Cl	m-F	m -Cl
								K/K_{a-DEB} 3.43 ± 0.07 3.54 ± 0.10 3.28 ± 0.09 2.51 ± 0.02 2.23 ± 0.03 2.15 ± 0.02 1.59 ± 0.01 1.47 ± 0.02
K/K_{0}	1.37	$1-41$	$1-31$	1-00	0.89	0.86	0-63	0.59

TABLE 3. RELATIVE REACTIVITY OF SUBSTITUTED BENZALDEHYDES TOWARDS THE TRICHLOROMETHYL

⁴ Corrected for methyl C-H abstraction.

77° in CCl₄ is only 10% higher than that found for molecular chlorine under similar conditions. Thus if the A factors in the Arhenius equation are similar the activation energy for the hydrogen-abstraction step by both atomic chlorine and the SO₂Cl radical from substituted benzaldehydes should be almost identical, and the ρ -value would not be significantly affected even if atomic chlorine has been doing part of the hydrogen-abstraction.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. Sulphuryl chloride (Fluka puriss grade) stored in a darkened dessicator was used without purification. β-Chloroethylbenzene (Eastman Kodak and neophyl chloride (Fluka) was redistilled before use. a-Chloro-p-diethylbenzene was prepared from the corresponding alcohol and thionyl chloride.

2044 **K.** LBB

p-Diethylbenzene was synthesized and purified as previously described. Aromatic aldehydes and other materials used were purified in the usual manner.¹

Competitive reaction of benzaldehydes and p-diethylbenzene with SO_2Cl_2 . These were carried out in CCl4 soln at 40° as described.³ The reaction was followed to complete consumption (1-2 hr) of SO₂Cl₂ by the disappearance of the 1420 cm^{-1} peak. Aroyl chlorides and unconsumed aromatic aldehydes were analysed^{2, 3} by the IR method. The total labile chlorides (ArCOCl + p -EtC₆H₄CHClCH₃) were estimated by titrimetry.³ With authentic mixtures the accuracy of the method was $\pm 2\%$ without implication of added ~-cbloroctbylbcnzene or ncophyl chloride. Some typical resulta are given in Table 1.

Competitive reactions of substituted benzaldehydes and ethylbenzene with BrCC1₃ at 40^o. These were *performed in CC& as in part* I, and correction factors at tbia tcmp for abstraction from Me groups in m - and p-methylbenzaldehydes were also determined. All experiments were carried out in triplicate and the results are presented in Table 3.

Acknowledgement-Technical assistance by Mr. T. B. Lim is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1 K. H. Lee, *Terrahedron 24,4793* (1968)
- ² K. H. Lee, *Ibid.* 26, 1503.
- 3 K. H. Lee, Ibid. 25, 4363 (1969)
- ⁴ H. H. Jaffe, Chem. Rev. 53, 191 (1953)
- ' D. H. McDaniel and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem. 23.420 (1958)
- $⁶$ H. C. Brown and Y. Okamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80.4979 (1958)</sup>
- ' M. Arai, Bull. Chem. Sot., *Japan 38,252* (1965)
- ⁸ \cdot R. L. Huang and K. H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc. C, 932 (1966)
- ' E. P. Cbang, R. L. Huang and K. H. Lee, *Ibid.* B, 878 (1969)
- ⁹ A. E. Fuller and W. J. Hickinbottom, *Ibid.* 3228 (1965)
- 10 C. Walling and B. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 4181 (1957)
- I1 K. B. Wiberg and L. H. Slaugb, *Ibid. So,3033* (1958)